



Management's Discussion and Analysis

Year ended December 31, 2014

(Expressed in U.S. dollars, unless otherwise noted)

March 30, 2015

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") relates to the financial condition and results of operations of Goldgroup Mining Inc. ("Goldgroup" or the "Company") together with its subsidiaries as of March 30, 2015, and is intended to supplement and complement the Company's audited condensed consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014. Readers are cautioned that this MD&A contains forward-looking statements and that actual events may vary from management's expectations. Goldgroup's public disclosure documents are available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. The condensed interim consolidated financial statements and MD&A are presented in United States ("US") dollars, except where noted, and have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). This discussion addresses matters we consider important for an understanding of our financial condition and results of operations as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The MD&A contains forward-looking statements and should be read in conjunction with the risks discussed herein and those set out under the heading "Risk Factors" in Goldgroup's annual information form dated March 31, 2015 (the "AIF"). Please also refer to the "Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information" at the end of this MD&A.

OVERVIEW

Goldgroup is a Canadian-based gold production, development, and exploration company with a portfolio of projects in Mexico, including its 100%-owned advanced stage gold development project Caballo Blanco in the state of Veracruz, a 100% owned Cerro Prieto project in the state of Sonora and a 50% interest in DynaResource de Mexico, SA de C.V., which owns 100% of the high grade gold exploration project San José de Gracia located in the state of Sinaloa. The Company also operates its 100%-owned Cerro Colorado gold mine in the state of Sonora. The Company is listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") under the symbol "GGA" and on the Over-The-Counter ("OTC") market under the symbol "GGAZF".

As of June 20, 2012 the Company is listed on the Bolsa Mexicana de Valores S.A.B de C.V., also known as "SIC", under the symbol GGAN.MX.

The profitability and operating cash flow of the Company are affected by various factors, including the amount of gold produced and sold, the market price of gold, operating costs, interest rates, regulatory and environmental compliance, general and administrative costs, the level of exploration and development expenditures, decommissioning and restoration provisions and other discretionary costs. Goldgroup is also exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates that can materially impact profitability and cash flow. To date, all of the Company's projects are located in Mexico and are subject to foreign investment risk, including increases in various levels of taxation and royalties, renegotiation of contracts, fuel cost changes, profit sharing law changes, property title risk and political uncertainty. While Goldgroup seeks to manage the level of risk associated with its business, many of the factors affecting these risks are beyond the Company's control.

The Company may need to raise additional funds over and above amounts raised to date to continue the development of Cerro Prieto, as well as to complete the exploration and development of its other property interests. There can be no assurance that additional capital or other types of financing will be available to the Company if needed or that, if available, the terms of such financing will be on terms favourable to the Company.

HIGHLIGHTS AND DEVELOPMENTS – Q4 2014

Strengthening the Company's financial position

- At as December 31, 2014, the Company's cash and cash equivalents balance is \$12.9 million (2013 - \$1.0 million) and has a working capital balance of \$6.4 million (\$2.2 million).
- On December 23, 2014, the Company completed the sale of the Caballo Blanco project to Timmins Gold Corp. ("Timmins Gold"). Total cash consideration paid at closing by Timmins Gold was \$10.0 million and 16.0 million Timmins Gold common shares. Additionally, the Company is entitled to an additional contingent amount of \$5.0 million (that can be payable in cash or Timmins Gold common shares, or combination of both) if certain business criteria are met.
- On September 22, 2014, the Company closed an agreement with two lenders (the "Lenders"), RMB Resources Inc. ("RMB") and Credipresto SAPI de CV SOFOM ENR ("Credipresto"), for a \$10.0 million secured medium term loan facility (the "Facility"). The Facility is being funded 80% by RMB and 20% by Credipresto. Javier Reyes, a director of Goldgroup, is a principal of Credipresto. The total amount drawn down as at December 31, 2014 was \$7.0 million. Balance outstanding as at December 31, 2014 is approximately \$6.5 million. A \$3.0 million voluntary prepayment of principal was made by the Company in February 2015.
- In August 2014, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement financing consisting of 7.5 million units at a price of CDN\$0.20 per unit for gross proceeds of \$1.4 million (CDN\$1.5 million). Each unit consisted of one common share and one-half non-transferable common share purchase warrant. Each full warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share in the capital of the Company at a price CDN\$0.25 per share for two years from the date of issue of the warrant.

Development Cerro Prieto

- During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company mined a total of 2,139,615 tonnes of material including 533,268 tonnes of ore, of which 88,876 tonnes were stockpiled at Cerro Prieto. Of the 548,043 tonnes of ore mined, the majority was extracted from outside the mine plan which resulted in a lower average grade. Gold produced in 2014 was 4,890 ounces.
- The Company continues to define the optimal crushing parameters which the Company believes will help improve recovery rates of gold. Metallurgical test work on the tertiary crusher (High Pressure Grinding Roll or "HPGR") product has helped define the optimal parameters. The Company is in the process of modifying the crushing circuit for finer crushing and screening. In addition the Company purchased a pug mill and a telescopic stacking system.

Other

- On March 19, 2015, Mr. Gabino Fraga Pena resigned as Director of the Company due to health reasons.

OUTLOOK

The main short-term objective is developing sustainable cash flow from operations at the Company's 100%-owned Cerro Prieto project ("Cerro Prieto"). During 2014 the Company was able to secure new financing which has allowed the Company to make considerable improvements at Cerro Prieto, which is expected to improve the ramp-up process at the project. The long-term objective of the Company is to continue to work with the Company's legal counsel to resolve the conflict with DynaResource, Inc. ("DynaUSA") in order to move forward with the San José de Gracia project.

Main areas of focus for 2015 include:

- Cerro Prieto – continuing the advancement of Cerro Prieto located in Sonora State, Mexico. Part of the proceeds of the new secured medium term loan facility (the “Facility”) announced on September 22, 2014 has been used to make significant changes to the crushing circuit and gold recovery process at Cerro Prieto which is expected to ramp up production.
- Cerro Colorado Mine – commence a planned wind-down with a focus of minimizing costs and safely ceasing operations.
- San José de Gracia – continue to work with the Government of the State of Sinaloa, acting as a facilitator through the mediation process, with the goal of bringing a resolution to the conflict with DynaUSA and moving forward with the project.

The Company wishes to make clear that it is not basing its Cerro Prieto’s production decision on a preliminary economic assessment demonstrating the potential viability of mineral resources or a feasibility study of mineral reserves demonstrating economic and technical viability, and as a result there is increased uncertainty and these are multiple technical and economic risks of failure which are associated with this production decision. These risks, among others, include areas that are analyzed in more detail in a Preliminary Economic Assessment (“PEA”) or Feasibility Study, such as applying economic analysis to resources or reserves, more detailed metallurgy, a number of various specialized studies.

Going concern

The Company has experienced recurring operating losses and has an accumulated deficit of \$109.7 million at December 31, 2014 (December 31, 2013 – \$52.8 million). However due to the sale of Caballo Blanco property in December 2014, the Company has significantly improved its working capital to \$6.4 million (December 31, 2013 - \$2.2 million). Working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities and provides a measure of the Company’s ability to settle liabilities that are due within one year with assets that are also expected to be converted into cash within one year. The continuing operations of the Company are dependent upon the gold price, its ability to arrange additional financing and/or draw down on its current Facility, favourably resolve the legal disputes with DynaUSA, completing development of Cerro Prieto and achieving future profitable operations. These matters results in material uncertainties which may cast doubt on the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

CERRO PRIETO PROJECT, MEXICO

Overview

The Cerro Prieto project, located in the Cucurpe Mining District, Sonora, Mexico, is comprised of the San Felix (205 ha), San Francisco (10 ha), Elba (5.82 ha), Huerta de Oro (20 ha), Reyna de Plata (9.79 ha), Cerro Prieto “North” (2,508 ha) and Argonauta 6 (4,120 ha) mineral concessions. Cerro Prieto is 52 road kilometers from the regional center of Magdalena de Kino (population 40,000) and 150 kilometers northeast of the city of Hermosillo.

Gold is produced in doré in Mexico and then shipped to a refiner in the United States for final refining prior to sale. In addition to the production royalty to Oroco discussed below, Cerro Prieto is subject to a 2% NSR royalty payable upon production.

On August 30, 2013 Goldgroup acquired a 100% interest of Cerro Prieto from Oroco Resource Corp. (“Oroco”) for total consideration of \$8,105,506, which is comprised of:

- \$4,500,000 cash
- A promissory note in the principal amount of \$1,500,000 (the “First Loan”), with the principal amount of the First Loan bearing simple interest at a rate of 8% per annum and payable in six equal monthly instalments of \$250,000 each plus interest, commencing on January 31, 2015.
- 5,500,000 common shares of the Company issued to Oroco at the date of closing;

- A promissory note to Oroco in the principal amount of \$4,125,000 million (the "Second Loan"), with the principal amount of the Second Loan bearing no interest and payable on August 30, 2015. The Company may elect at its sole discretion to pay the principal amount of the Second Loan in cash or by issuing to Oroco 16,500,000 common shares;
- A production royalty calculated as 20% of the difference between the market price of gold and \$1,250 per ounce up to a maximum of US\$90 per ounce of gold produced from the project, for the greater of (i) the first 90,000 ounces of gold produced from the Cerro Prieto Project and (ii) all ounces of gold produced from the Project until the completion of five full years of commercial production.
- The Company completed a private placement for 5,000,000 units of Oroco for CDN\$1,000,000 (\$1,002,570 USD). Each unit was purchased at CDN\$0.20 and is comprised of one common share and one non-transferable share purchase warrant, with each warrant exercisable at a price of CDN\$0.25, expiring February 4, 2015.

Operational results

Cerro Prieto commenced small-scale trial mining and leaching in December 2013. Until commercial production is achieved, all costs and revenues are capitalized to the mineral property. During the year ended ended December 31, 2014, Cerro Prieto produced 4,890 ounces of gold (2013 – N/A), respectively.

Operating Statistics	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2014
Ore mined (tonnes)	548,043
Waste mined (tonnes)	1,591,572
Total mined (tonnes)	2,139,615
Waste-to-ore-ratio	2.90
Ore stock-piled (tonnes)	88,876
Recovery	43%
Grade of ore placed on pad (g/t Au)	0.79
Gold ounces placed on leach pad	11,613
Gold ounces – produced	4,890
Gold ounces – sold	5,149
Average realized gold price per ounce sold	\$ 1,274

Due to the lower than expected precious metals recoveries, the Company continued with metallurgical testing to improve the leach pad precious metals recoveries. The metallurgical testing has shown that a crush size of minus ten mesh is optimal to obtain a +70% recovery from the material. The testing has also proven that the oversize material from the HPGR crushing system would need to be recirculated to achieve a minus ten mesh crush finish product. In the Company's view, it will be necessary to modify the feed circuit from the crusher screen deck to allow the fine mineralized material from the primary/secondary crusher to bypass the HPGR crusher and to then be rejoined with the HPGR material after, as part of the in the final minus ten mesh crushed product.

The crushing system modifications are currently underway along with the purchase of a pug mill to semi-agglomerate the minus ten mesh product with cement and other agents. The Company purchased a telescopic stacking system to place the agglomerated ore on the heap leach pad to allow the ore to be placed with compaction in order to help optimize precious metals recoveries. A variety of conveyors were purchased to achieve the recirculation of the HPGR oversize material and to ensure the fine material bypasses the HPGR.

As a result of modifications made to the crushing circuit, the Company stock-piled higher grade ore during the quarter. Certain lower grade ore was crushed finer and placed on the pad while other lower grade ore was run-of-mine and placed directly on the pad. Once the modifications to the crushing system are completed, the Company will crush the stock-piled higher grade ore and place onto the pad for leaching.

The improvements discussed above have taken longer than originally expected to complete, which primarily relates to cash flow shortages. The cash flow shortages were addressed during the third quarter with the closing of the Facility and private placement. The Company has adjusted its earlier estimate of its intention to advance to commercial production from 2014 to the first quarter of 2015.

	Expenditures at Cerro Prieto ^(a)
<i>(tabled amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>	Twelve months ended December 31, 2014
Balance as at December 31, 2013	\$ 12,055
Depreciation capitalized	341
Mine operations	6,806
Plant and lab	188
Engineering	148
Leach pad	364
Geology	300
Crusher	1,632
Other	232
Royalties	153
Restoration	153
Gold Sales	(6,771)
Balance as at December 31, 2014	15,601

(a) The expenditures and revenues at Cerro Prieto are being capitalized until the project reaches commercial production.

To date, Goldgroup has completed various construction and development aspects of Cerro Prieto including:

- Completed an internal Life of Mine (“LOM”) Plan
- Construction of a leach pad and ponds
- Construction of a carbon adsorption plant
- Construction of explosive magazines
- Movement and installation of primary and secondary crushers from Cerro Colorado
- Movement and installation of the strip circuit and smelting plant from Cerro Colorado
- Installation of HPGR tertiary crusher and associated upgrades to the entire crushing circuit
- Completion of the flora rescue program
- Creation of a fertile soil stockpile for mine closure
- Creation of a new access road for heavy truck traffic
- Construction of a lab and metallurgical column test work program to better define leach recoveries
- A 1,000m RC drill program to better define portions of the ore body
- Underground channel sampling of the old workings
- Construction of areas for workshop and offices

- Engineering works on a LOM plan and schedule

The Company is continuing to focus its efforts at the project by:

- Ramping up to commercial production
- Finishing construction of the camp, workshop and warehouse areas
- Exploring mineral properties adjacent to the site
- Continuing to define the optimal crushing parameters and conduct metallurgical test work on the HPGR.

On August 8, 2013 the Company released an updated National Instrument 43-101 Measured and Indicated and Inferred mineral resource estimate (the “NI 43-101”) for Cerro Prieto. Giroux Consultants Ltd. and Duncan Bain Consulting Ltd. prepared and authorized the release of this NI 43-101 resource estimate entitled “Report on the 2011-2012 Exploration Program including an Updated Resource Estimation on the Cerro Prieto Project - Magdalena de Kino Area, Sonora State Mexico dated June 10, 2013”. Highlights of this estimate can be found in the MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2013 on SEDAR.

Qualified Persons

The Mineral Resource estimate and other scientific and technical information contained in this document relating to this mineral resource estimate were prepared by or under the supervision of G.H. Giroux, P.Eng., MASc. and Dr. Duncan J. Bain, P.Geo. who are independent “Qualified Persons” under National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects.

The scientific and technical information contained in this document relating to mining operations at Cerro Prieto were under the supervision of Robert Friesen, P.Geo., who is a “Qualified Persons” under National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects.

The Company wishes to make clear that it is not basing its production decision on a preliminary economic assessment demonstrating the potential viability of mineral resources or a feasibility study of mineral reserves demonstrating economic and technical viability, and as a result there is increased uncertainty and these are multiple technical and economic risks of failure which are associated with this production decision. These risks, among others, include areas that are analyzed in more detail in a Preliminary Economic Assessment (“PEA”) or Feasibility Study, such as applying economic analysis to resources or reserves, more detailed metallurgy, a number of various specialized studies.

SAN JOSÉ DE GRACIA PROJECT, MEXICO

Overview

On March 14, 2011, the Company completed the requirements for its earn-in/option agreement with DynaResource de Mexico S.A. de C.V. (“DynaMexico”) for a 50% equity interest in DynaMexico by reaching the expenditure funding requirement of \$18,000,000. DynaMexico owns 100% of the San José de Gracia gold project.

San José de Gracia is located in the northeast portion of Sinaloa State, Mexico, approximately 120 kilometres northeast of the coastal city of Los Mochis, straddling the Chihuahua border. The property consists of 34 mineral concessions covering approximately 69,000 hectares with no outstanding royalty or other applicable interests.

Management has had numerous discussions with DynaUSA, owners of the remaining 50% shareholding of DynaMexico, to determine how to proceed with this project. The Company continues to assess available alternatives for the future development of the San José de Gracia project. Until such time as a development strategy and financial plan for the San José de Gracia project can be agreed to with DynaUSA, Goldgroup’s financial support to fund further exploration and development activities has been placed on hold. Development activities will be limited and the costs of maintaining the project are expected to be nominal.

Legal disputes

There are several ongoing legal disputes between Goldgroup and DynaUSA which are summarized below:

- Legal Dispute #1: On January 22, 2013 Goldgroup announced that it had moved to dismiss as totally without merit a lawsuit filed against it and others in Dallas County District Court by DynaResource, Inc. and DynaResource de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (collectively “DynaResource”).

DynaResource alleged, among other things, that Goldgroup has wrongfully used and disseminated confidential information and data belonging to DynaResource, and materially misrepresented Goldgroup’s ownership interest in the San José de Gracia project. Goldgroup owns a 50% interest in DynaMexico, which owns 100% of the San José de Gracia project. Goldgroup has properly disclosed its interest in the San José de Gracia project, has not materially misrepresented it, and has not improperly used any DynaResource confidential information. Goldgroup denies all such allegations by DynaResource, has moved to dismiss the lawsuit, and intends to vigorously defend itself and its interests.

On March 11, 2014 the Company announced that DynaResource dropped its lawsuit in Dallas County District Court.

- Legal Dispute #2: On October 28, 2013 Goldgroup announced that it filed a legal action before the appropriate criminal authorities in Mexico concerning recent activities undertaken by Koy Wilber Diepholz (“Diepholz”), shareholder, President and Chairman of the Board of Directors of DynaMexico and Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer of DynaUSA. The purpose of the legal action case is to investigate whether illegal acts were committed by Diepholz, in his role as CEO of DynaMexico, for his own benefit and for the benefit of DynaUSA. On August 7, 2014 the accounting expert ratified his auditing on DynaMexico before the criminal authorities in Mazatlán.
- Legal Dispute #3: On January 14, 2014 Goldgroup announced that it obtained an injunction against the 300 new shares purportedly issued by DynaMexico in favor of DynaUSA from a Federal Judge of the Mexican Court. The injunction freezes the shares pending trial regarding DynaMexico’s issuance of the new shares. Before the new shares were purportedly issued, Goldgroup was a 50% shareholder in DynaMexico.

On May 17, 2013 DynaMexico held an extraordinary shareholders meeting (the “Meeting”) without following the proper legal process or providing the correct notification to Goldgroup. The Meeting was, apparently, attended by representatives of DynaUSA. Goldgroup did not attend as it was not properly notified of the Meeting.

In the Meeting, DynaUSA and Diepholz purported to approve the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, which included unaudited accounts payable amounts which were to the benefit of DynaUSA and were never approved by Goldgroup. In the Meeting, DynaUSA and Diepholz purported to increase DynaMexico’s equity by means of capitalization of the aforementioned accounts payable and purported to issue 300 new shares of DynaMexico in favor of DynaUSA.

Goldgroup considers that such a meeting was in violation of a number of legal requirements, under Mexican laws including but not limited to, the bylaws of DynaMexico, the capitalization of debt (accounts payable) without the prior approval of the financial statements of the DynaMexico and by voting such capitalization by a shareholder (DynaUSA) for its own benefit. Under Mexican Law, parties with a conflict of interest must abstain from voting in such a manner. As a result of such a capital increase, DynaUSA has attempted to dilute Goldgroup’s ownership in DynaMexico, purporting to become the owner of 80% of DynaMexico.

Due to the foregoing, Goldgroup initiated, before the Mexican Federal authorities, a suit concerning the Meeting and, as a precautionary measure, requested that the Judge freeze the 300 shares issued to DynaUSA. On December 13, 2013, the Judge issued an injunction in order to maintain the status quo of DynaMexico as it was before the Meeting (i.e. Goldgroup owning 50% of the shares of DynaMexico) until the trial occurs. On February 12, 2014 the Company posted a bond in order to freeze the shares.

- Legal Dispute #4: On March 14, 2014 the Company filed for arbitration in Denver, Colorado, against DynaResource Inc. to protect its interests pursuant to the San José de Gracia Earn-in option agreement dated Sept. 1, 2006.

Status of Project

The Government of the State of Sinaloa (the “Sinaloa Government”) has recently taken steps to attempt to bring a resolution to the dispute over the high-grade San José de Gracia gold project. Recently, the Sinaloa Government have requested that Goldgroup and DynaUSA resolve their differences through mediation with the Sinaloa Government acting as facilitator to that mediation. This process has commenced with meetings between Goldgroup, DynaUSA and the Sinaloa Government. Goldgroup hopes to find a resolution to this issue in the near-term.

The Company released an updated technical report on the San José de Gracia project dated effective September 5, 2011, which was prepared by Jim Cuttle, P.Geol. and Gary Giroux, P.Eng of Giroux Consultants Ltd., each an independent qualified person under NI 43-101 standards. The technical report significantly increased the Company’s mineral resource estimate at San José de Gracia, establishing indicated mineral resources at the Tres Amigos vein of 147,000 ounces of gold (913,000 tonnes @ 5.00g/t Au, 10.72g/t Ag, 0.21% Cu, 0.54% Zn), and growing inferred mineral resources at all four veins from 618,000 to 963,000 ounces of gold (5.813 million tonnes @ 5.16g/t gold, 10.26g/t silver, 0.21% copper and 0.16% zinc) and 1.917 million ounces of silver, representing an increase of 56%. The previous technical report dated February 28, 2011, estimated solely inferred mineral resources.

On February 15, 2012, DynaUSA announced that it had received the results of a different mineral resource estimate for the San José de Gracia project (the "DynaUSA Estimate"). The DynaUSA Estimate included aggregate indicated mineral resources at Tres Amigos of 892,534 tonnes, with an average grade of 4.46 g/t, totaling 127,921 oz/Au, and at San Pablo of 1,307,509 tonnes, with an average grade of 6.52 g/t, totaling 274,171 oz/Au, and aggregate inferred mineral resources of 3,953,143 tonnes, with an average grade of 5.83 g/t, totaling 740,911 oz/Au. The DynaUSA Estimate includes a higher volume of indicated mineral resources as compared to the mineral resources estimate contained in the technical report released by Goldgroup due to the use of different qualified persons and their corresponding assumptions and parameters. The February 15, 2012 news release issued by DynaUSA and the NI 43-101 Technical Report filed on March 28, 2012 by DynaUSA can be found on SEDAR.

The current mineral resource estimate is expected to be used to support a future preliminary economic assessment for development of the project.

CERRO COLORADO PROPERTY, MEXICO

Overview

The Company owns a 100% interest in the Cerro Colorado mine, located in northern Sonora, Mexico. The property consists of seven mineral concessions covering the area of the mine and seven concessions in the immediate vicinity of the mine totalling 12,753 hectares. Gold is produced in doré in Mexico and then shipped to a refiner in the United States for final refining prior to sale. The project is subject to a NSR royalty of 3%. On September 30, 2013, the Company stopped full scale mining operations and continued to process gold from the leach pad. In July 2014 the Company ceased adding reagents to the leaching process which will further reduce gold production.

Status of Operations and Outlook

At the Cerro Colorado gold mine, the Company produced 3,700 ounces of gold during the year ended December 31, 2014 (2013 – 17,766 ounces).

In 2014, the Company is focusing its efforts at Cerro Colorado by leaching the existing leach pads while commencing a planned wind down and has transitioned most of the equipment and personnel to Cerro Prieto. By the end of Q4 2014 the leach pad had been rinsed and negotiations are being conducted with third parties on proposals for the right to perform exploration drilling on Cerro Colorado.

Operational results

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013 ^(b)	2012
Ore mined – placed on leach pad (tonnes)	-	1,126,478	1,836,488
Waste mined (tonnes)	-	2,659,019	6,389,711
Total mined (tonnes)	-	3,785,497	8,226,199
Waste-to-ore-ratio	-	2.36	3.48
Recovery	-	75%	62%
Grade (g/t Au)	-	0.57	0.50
Gold ounces mined	-	19,990	30,975
Gold ounces – produced	3,700	17,766	19,182
Gold ounces – sold	3,890	17,631	19,933
Operating Financial Data <i>(Expressed in 000's)</i>			
Metal Sales	\$ 5,200	\$ 25,579	\$ 33,474
Cost of sales ^(a)	5,001	22,639	29,130
Depreciation and depletion	439	1,657	3,210
Gross profit (loss)	(240)	1,283	1,134
Total Comprehensive loss for the period	(57,267)	(6,976)	10,008
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.41)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.08)
Average realized gold price per ounce sold	\$1,315	\$ 1,432	\$ 1,658
Cash cost per ounce sold	\$1,263	1,265	1,440
Exploration – Cerro Colorado	-	213	1,278
Plant and equipment expenditures at Cerro Colorado	-	-	1,399

(a) Cost of sales excludes depreciation and depletion.

(b) With the exception of gold produced and gold sold, operating statistics only included statistics up to September 30, 2013, which is when mining operations stopped at Cerro Colorado.

Production for 2014 decreased significantly comparing to 2013, primarily as a result of mining operations stopped during 2014.

Operating cash costs for 2014 were \$5.0 million or \$1,263 per ounce of gold sold including silver by-product credits of \$22 per ounce, compared to \$22.6 million or \$1,265 per ounce of gold sold for same period in 2013, before silver by-product credits of \$19 per ounce. This represents a decrease of \$3 per ounce over the 2013. The cash cost per ounce decreased in the 2014 period as there were no mining operations and only leaching of the pad which was more profitable than operations in 2013.

CABALLO BLANCO PROJECT, MEXICO

Overview

Goldgroup owned 100% of the Caballo Blanco gold project which consists of a series of oxidized gold zones located in the State of Veracruz in eastern Mexico. The Company sold the Caballo Blanco gold project to Timmins Gold on December 23, 2014.

Sale of Caballo Blanco to Timmins Gold

On December 23, 2014, the Company sold its 100% interest in the Caballo Blanco to Timmins Gold. On Closing, Timmins Gold paid \$10.0 million in cash and 16.0 million Timmins Gold common shares. Post-Closing, The Company will be entitled to receive an additional contingent amount of \$5.0 million that will become payable in cash, Timmins Gold common shares, or a combination thereof (at the option of Timmins Gold, provided that Company's ownership in Timmins Gold will not exceed 9.9% at any time) should any of the following events occur prior to October 31, 2019:

- The approval of the Project's Environmental Impact Statement from SEMARNAT
- A change in beneficial ownership of Timmins Gold of greater than 50%; or
- The removal or change, at one time, of a majority of the current members of the Timmins Gold Board of Directors

The Company will be restricted from trading the Timmins Gold shares obtained in the transaction until the expiration of the standard statutory hold period. 6.0 million Timmins Gold common shares were held in escrow upon Closing, to be released upon the earlier to occur of the completion of certain post-Closing actions or the expiration of the standard statutory hold period.

The Company has come to an agreement with RMB Resources Inc. and Credipresto SAPI de CV SOFM ENR to release and replace the existing security held over the Project in order for this transaction to proceed. As part of this agreement, the Company will be required to maintain the a cash balance that is greater or equal to the principal outstanding until the escrowed shares are released to the Company. The terms and conditions of the existing credit agreement are otherwise unchanged.

SUMMARY OF CONSOLIDATED PROFIT AND LOSS**Profit and Losses**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<i>(tabled amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>			
Metal sales	\$ 5,200	\$ 25,579	\$ 33,474
Cost of sales ^(a)	(5,001)	(22,639)	(29,130)
Depreciation and depletion	(439)	(1,657)	(3,210)
Gross (loss) profit	(240)	1,283	1,134
Administrative expenses	(3,228)	(3,792)	(6,663)
Share of equity (loss) income in DynaMexico	9	(2)	(180)
Gain (loss) from disposal of equipment	170	(41)	(2,507)
Impairment – mineral property	(42,656)	-	-
Impairment – investment in associate	(18,178)	-	-
Other (expense) income	(771)	(1,643)	462
Loss before income taxes	(64,894)	(4,195)	(7,754)
Provision for income taxes:			
Current	-	(907)	(356)
Future	7,919	(1,874)	(1,898)
Net loss	(56,975)	(6,976)	(10,008)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1,953	-	-
Total Comprehensive loss for the period	(55,022)	\$ (6,976)	\$ (10,008)
Basic & diluted loss per share	(0.41)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.08)

(a) Cost of sales excludes depreciation and depletion

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<i>(table amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,859	\$ 963	\$ 8,488
Total assets	49,644	106,275	104,323
Non-current financial liabilities	5,148	1,402	90
Cash dividends declared	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00

Gold sales during 2014 were 3,890 ounces at an average gold price of \$1,315 per ounce compared to 17,631 ounces at an average gold price of \$1,432 per ounce during the same period of 2013. During 2014, the Cerro Colorado gold mine produced 3,700 ounces of gold compared to 17,766 during 2013. Gold sales in the current year was significantly lower than 2013 and 2012 as the mining operations have been shut down.

Cost of sales of \$5.0 million represented cash operating costs at the Cerro Colorado gold mine for 2014 or \$1,263 per ounce of gold sold, compared to cash operating costs of \$22.6 million for 2013 or \$1,265 per ounce of gold sold. The Company stopped mining operations in 2014 however it continued to add leaching reagents while the pad continued to leach. In the third quarter of 2014 the addition of leaching reagents was discontinued. As a result, the cost of sales in the current year was significantly lower than 2013 and 2012.

Depreciation and depletion of \$0.4 million in 2014 resulted primarily from the depreciation on the Cerro Colorado mine and plant and equipment compared to \$1.7 million 2013. The depletion expense is calculated on a unit-of-production basis; hence, it was significantly lower in the current year.

Administrative expenses were \$3.2million for 2014 compared to \$3.8 million in 2013. There was a decrease in the 2014 period as a result of less activity and the cost reductions that have been implemented. The administrative costs are primarily comprised of accounting and legal costs, investor relations expenses, salaries and consulting fees and share-based compensation for the recognition of part of the fair value of options granted during 2013 and 2014.

During 2014 an impairment charge in the amount of \$42.7 million was recorded against exploration and evaluation assets compared to \$Nil in the 2013 period. The impairment charge was recorded against the Caballo Blanco project as the fair value from the sale to Timmins Gold was lower than the carrying value. An impairment of \$18.2 million was recognized to reduce the value of investment in DynaMexico due to the uncertainty of the legal dispute pending.

Gain and losses on disposal of equipment fluctuated over the year dependent on proceeds received and non cash accounting write downs.

Other expenses were \$0.7 million for 2014 compared to \$1.6 million in 2013. During the 2014 period other expenses mainly comprised of interest charges on the loan payable and promissory note payable and a foreign exchange loss. The 2013 balance is mainly comprised of interest associated with the Loan Agreement exploration at Cerro Colorado and project generation costs offset by a foreign exchange gain.

Income tax expense and recovery fluctuated dependent on level of mining activity. Non cash deferred income tax expense for accounting purpose depends on the difference between carrying value for accounting purpose and tax basis.

The other comprehensive income of \$2,245 million relates to revaluation of Oroco and Timmins Gold shares.

QUARTERLY RESULTS

<i>(table amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>	Q4 2014	Q3 2014	Q2 2014	Q1 2014	Q4 2013	Q3 2013	Q2 2013	Q1 2013
Revenue	96	577	1,930	2,597	3,325	5,640	8,672	7,942
Income (loss) from mine operations	(255)	(259)	29	245	(511)	(876)	1,481	1,189
Net income (loss)	(25,373)	(29,831)	(912)	(859)	(2,265)	(2,568)	197	(988)
Mark-to-market loss on available-for-sale securities	1,957	-	(94)	90	(676)	48	333	295
Total comprehensive loss for the period	(23,416)	(29,831)	(1,006)	(769)	(2,941)	(2,616)	(136)	(1,283)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share	(0.18)	(0.21)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.00)	(0.01)
Cash and cash equivalents	12,859	3,329	538	1,559	963	1,778	4,002	5,709
Total assets	49,644	70,030	103,555	105,552	105,375	107,099	101,489	103,077
Non-current financial liabilities	5,148	4,999	48	1,502	1,402	2,525	4	33
Cash dividend declared	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)

Three months ended December 31, 2014 statement of losses compared with previous quarters in 2014 and 2013

Revenue was significantly lower in the current quarter than any of the historic quarter in 2014 and 2013 as Cerro Colorado was shut down by the end of Q4 2014.

Net loss in the current quarter was comparable to Q3 2014 and higher than other historic quarters in 2013 and 2014 as both Q4 2014 and Q3 2014 recorded significant impairment losses on its exploration and evaluation asset.

Mark to market gain was higher than other historic quarters in 2014 and 2013 as the Company received Timmins Gold common shares in December 31, 2014 as part of the sales transaction of Caballo Blanco. The value increased significantly by December 31, 2014.

Total assets and non-current liabilities as at December 31, 2014 compared with previous quarters in 2014 and 2013

As at December 31, 2014, the Company's total assets are significantly lower than other quarters in 2014 and 2013 as the Company recorded significant impairment on its exploration and evaluation assets.

Non-current liabilities in Q4 2014 and Q3 2014 relates to the RMB debenture, which was signed in Q3 2014.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

A summary of the Company's cash position and changes in cash and cash equivalents for December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are provided below:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<i>(table amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>			
Cash generated (consumed) by operating activities - net	2,043	(1,328)	(1,026)
Cash used in investing activities	1,773	(10,224)	(13,864)
Cash generated by financing activities	8,080	4,027	65
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	11,896	(7,525)	(14,825)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	963	8,488	23,313
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	12,859	\$ 963	\$ 8,488

As at December 2014, the Company had working capital of \$6.4 million compared to working capital of \$2.2 million as at December 31, 2013. This was mainly driven by the proceeds received from Timmins Gold by the sales of Caballo Blanco in December 2014.

Cash flow from operating activity were higher than the comparative period in 2013 and 2012 mainly due to movement in working capital (e.g. collection of VAT receivables).

Cash flow of investing activity were also higher than the comparative period in 2013 and 2012 due to the \$10.0 million gross cash proceeds from Timmins Gold from the sale of Caballo Blanco. This was netted out with expenditure on development of Cerro Prieto and Caballo Blanco (prior to the sale).

SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY

Goldgroup's authorized capital stock consists of an unlimited number of common shares without par value. As at March 30, 2015, there is 145,094,596 common shares, 9,470,000 stock options and 17,729,011 share purchase warrants outstanding.

Table below provides a summary of the stock option outstanding:

Expiry date	Number of stock options	Number of stock options (vested)	Exercise price (CDN\$)
June 15, 2016	1,625,000	1,625,000	\$ 1.40
November 14, 2016	75,000	75,000	1.45
May 10, 2017	40,000	40,000	0.58
June 27, 2017	50,000	50,000	0.50
December 19, 2017	190,000	190,000	0.37
April 2, 2018	125,000	125,000	0.25
November 18, 2018	2,705,000	2,028,750	0.10
February 12, 2020	4,660,000	1,165,000	0.145
Balance, December 31, 2014	9,470,000	5,298,750	

Table below provides a summary of the share purchase warrants outstanding:

Expiry date	Number of warrants	Exercise price (CDN\$)
November 26, 2015	2,000,000	\$ 1.25
August 12, 2016	2,767,955	0.25
August 22, 2016	961,056	0.25
March 18, 2018	6,000,000	0.19
March 18, 2015	6,000,000	0.23
Balance, December 31, 2014	17,729,011	

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

Off balance sheet arrangements

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Proposed Transactions

The Company does not have any proposed transactions as at December 31, 2014 other than as disclosed elsewhere in this document.

Financial instruments

Fair values of financial instruments

The fair values of financial instruments are summarized as follows:

Fair value measurements

The following table sets forth the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

	December 31, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Carrying value \$	Fair value \$	Carrying value \$	Fair value \$
Financial assets				
<i>Fair value to profit and loss ("FVTPL")</i>				
Cash and cash equivalents	12,859	12,859	963	963
Receivables	57	57	24	24
<i>Available-for-sale</i>				
Investment	15,841	15,841	170	170
Financial liabilities				
<i>Other financial liabilities</i>				
Accounts payable & accrued liabilities	4,620	4,620	2,830	2,830
Promissory note	1,503	1,503	1,399	1,399
Loan payable	4,444	4,444	4,192	4,192
<i>Derivative</i>				
Warrant liability	704	704	3	3

Fair value measurements

The following table sets forth the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total December 31, 2014
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,859	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,859
Receivables		3,264	-	3,264
Investment	15,841	-	-	15,841
Accounts payable & accrued liabilities	-	(4,620)	-	(4,620)
Promissory note	-	(1,503)	-	(1,503)
Loan payable	-	(4,444)	-	(4,444)
Warrant liability derivative	-	-	(704)	(704)

Currency	Notes	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Canadian Dollars	(i)	\$ 78	\$ 830
US Dollars		12,770	52
Mexican Pesos	(ii)	11	81
		\$ 12,859	\$ 963

(i) Canadian dollars of \$90 was converted at a Canadian to US dollar exchange rate of 0.8620 (December 31, 2013 - \$77 at 0.9482).

- (ii) Mexican pesos of \$162 was converted at a Pesos to US dollar exchange rate of 0.0678 (December 31, 2013 - \$1,061 at 0.07625).

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or third party to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, and marketable securities. The majority of the Company's cash and cash equivalents are held through large Canadian financial institutions.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company manages liquidity risk through the management of its capital structure as described in Note 28. The margin balance payable, accounts payable and income taxes payable are due within the current operating period.

Market Risk

The Company's financial instruments include investments which are publicly traded and therefore subject to the risks related to the fluctuation in market prices of publicly traded securities. Some of these investments have been acquired as a result of property transactions and, to a large extent, represent strategic investments in related mining companies and their properties. The Company closely monitors market values to determine the most appropriate course of action.

Price Risk

Price risk is the risk that the trading price of the Company's shares will fluctuate and result in an increase or decrease in value of the warrant liability.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value of future cash flows from a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes to market interest rates. The Company is exposed from time to time to interest rate risk as a result of holding fixed income cash equivalents and investments, of varying maturities. A 1% change in market interest rates would result in no significant change in value of cash and cash equivalents or fixed income securities. The risk that the Company will realize a loss as a result of a decline in the fair value of these assets is limited as they are generally held to maturity.

Foreign Exchange Risk

The Company operates in Canada, and Mexico and is exposed to foreign exchange risk arising from transactions denominated in foreign currencies.

The operating results and the financial position of the Company are reported in United States dollars. Fluctuations of the operating currencies in relation to the United States dollar will have an impact upon the reported results of the Company and may also affect the value of the Company's assets and liabilities.

The Company's financial assets and liabilities as at December 31, 2014 are denominated in United States Dollars, Canadian Dollars, and Mexican Pesos, and are set out in the following table:

<i>(table amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>	Canadian Dollars	US Dollars	Mexico Pesos	Total
Financial assets				
Cash and cash equivalent	\$ 78	\$ 12,770	\$ 11	\$ 12,859
Receivables - other	40	-	3,224	3,264
	118	12,770	3,229	16,117
Financial liabilities				
Accounts payables and accrued liabilities	919	-	3,701	4,620
Loan payable	-	4,444	-	4,444
Promissory note	-	1,503	-	1,503
Net financial assets (liabilities)	\$ (737)	\$ 6,823	\$ (622)	\$ 5,400

The Company's reported results will be affected by changes in the US dollar to Canadian dollar and US dollar to Mexican Pesos exchange rate. As of December 31, 2014, a 10% appreciation of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar would have decreased net financial assets by approximately \$74,000. A 10% depreciation of the US Dollar relative to the Canadian dollar would have had the equal but opposite effect. A 10% appreciation of the Mexican Pesos relative to the US dollar would have increased net financial asset by approximately \$62,200 and a 10% depreciation of the Mexican Pesos would have had an equal but opposite effect. The Company has not entered into any agreements or purchased any instruments to hedge possible currency risk.

The table below summarizes the maturity profile of the Company's non-derivative financial liabilities based on undiscounted payments. As the amounts disclosed in the table are the contractual undiscounted cash flows, these balances may not agree with amounts disclosed in the balance sheet:

December 31, 2014 <i>(table amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>	Current – within 1 year	Non- current – 1 to 3 years
Accounts payables and accrued liabilities	\$ 4,620	\$ -
Loan payable	-	4,444
Tax payable	1,866	-
Promissory note	1,503	-
	7,989	4,444

December 31, 2013 <i>(table amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>	Current – within 1 year	Non- current – 1 to 3 years
Accounts payables and accrued liabilities	\$ 2,830	\$ -
Loan payable	4,192	-
Tax payable	108	-
Promissory note	-	1,399
	7,130	1,399

Related party transactions

The Company's related parties include its subsidiaries, associates over which it exercises significant influence, and key management personnel. The remuneration of the Company's directors and other key management personnel during the year ended December 31, are as follows:

<i>(tabled amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)</i>	2014	2013
Short-term employee benefits	\$ 203	\$ 319
Director's fees	129	188
Share-based compensation	23	36
Legal fees	66	44
Consulting fees	147	-

Short-term employee benefits include salaries payable within twelve months of the balance sheet date and other annual employee benefits.

At December 31, 2014, trade and other accounts payable includes \$324,000 (2013 - \$71,000) owing to a director and/or officer and/or companies controlled by the directors.

During the year ended December 31, 2014 the Company paid legal fees totalling \$66,000 (2013 - \$44,000) and consulting fees totalling \$147,000 to companies controlled by directors of the Company.

The Company is also required to issue 160,650 Timmins Gold shares to a director of the Company who assisted with the transaction. A value of \$154,000 related to these shares is included in transaction costs related to the sales of Caballo Blanco.

Due to the particulars Mexican law, it is common for operating companies to employ their workers through a management company. The employees of Granmin Mexico were employed until May 31, 2014 by Pabelini, S.A. de C.V. ("Pabelini"), a company owned by the estranged spouse of the CEO. Under an agreement, dated June 1, 2011, between Granmin Mexico and Pabelini, Pabelini paid all of the Cerro Colorado mine employees and Granmin Mexico administrative personnel and was reimbursed by Granmin Mexico. Pabelini charged a fee equal to 5% of the base salaries of the employees, before additions for statutory remittances. During the year ended December 31, 2014 this fee totaled \$47,000 (2013 - \$141,000). This fee was meant to reimburse Pabelini for its office costs and administrative overhead costs incurred in managing the payroll and making all required remittances to the Mexican government in association with salaries of such employees. At December 31, 2014, amounts owing to (from) Pabelini totalled \$12,000 (2013 - \$77,000).

In addition to Pabelini, a number of expatriate workers and Caballo Blanco employees were employed until September 30, 2014 by MINOP, S.A. de C.V. ("Minop"). Minop is a private company controlled by the son-in-law of the CEO. Under an agreement, dated October 1, 2011 and expiring September 30, 2014, Minop charged a service fee equal to 1.5% of base salary for employees earning greater than \$100,000 per year and 3% for employees earning less than \$100,000 base salary per year. During the year ended December 31, 2014 this fee totaled \$14,000 (2013 - \$35,000). This fee was meant to reimburse Minop for administrative costs incurred by the company in providing these services. At December 31, 2013, amounts owing to Minop totalled \$nil (2013 - \$80,000).

Amounts owing to or from related parties are non-interest bearing, unsecured and due on demand.

On September 22, 2014, the Company closed an agreement with two lenders (the "Lenders"), RMB Resources Inc. ("RMB") and Credipresto SAPI de CV SOFOM ENR ("Credipresto"), for a \$10.0 million secured medium term loan facility (the "Facility"). The Facility is being funded 80% by RMB and 20% by Credipresto. Javier Reyes, a director of Goldgroup, is a principal of Credipresto. The total amount drawn down as at December 31, 2014 was \$7.0 million. Balance outstanding as at December 31, 2014 is approximately \$6.5 million. A \$3.0 million voluntary prepayment of principal was made by the Company in February 2015.

Capital management

The capital of the Company consists of items included in shareholder's equity. The Company's objectives for capital management are to safeguard its ability to support the Company's normal operating requirement on an ongoing basis, continue the development and exploration of its mineral properties and support any expansionary plans.

The Company manages its capital structure and makes adjustments in light of changes in its economic environment and the risk characteristics of the Company's assets. To effectively manage the entity's capital requirements, the Company has in place a planning, budgeting and forecasting process to help determine the funds required to ensure the Company has the appropriate liquidity to meet its operating and growth objectives. As at December 31, 2014, the Company expects its capital resources will support its normal operating requirements, planned development and exploration of its mineral properties for the next twelve months. There are no externally imposed capital requirements to which the Company has not complied.

Changes in accounting policies

Accounting standards effective after 2014 are disclosed in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014.

In November 2009, the IASB issued IFRS 9, "Financial Instruments" ("IFRS 9") as the first phase in its project to replace IAS 39 (classification and measurement) and required that all financial assets be classified as subsequently measured at amortized cost or at fair value based on the Company's business model for managing financial assets and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. Requirements for financial liabilities were added to IFRS 9 in October 2010 with most of the requirements for financial liabilities carried forward unchanged from IAS 39. However, some changes were made to the fair value option for financial liabilities to address the issue of own credit risk. The IASB decided on an implementation date of January 1, 2018. In November 2013, IFRS 9 was amended to include guidance on hedge accounting (phase 3) and to allow entities to apply IFRS 9 immediately. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adopting IFRS 9 on the consolidated financial statements.

Critical accounting estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Company's management makes judgments in its process of applying the Company's accounting policies in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. In addition, the preparation of the financial data requires that the Company's management make assumptions and estimates of the effects of uncertain future events on the carrying amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities at the end of the reporting period and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates as the estimation process is inherently uncertain. Estimates are reviewed on an ongoing basis based on historical experience and other factors that are considered to be relevant under the circumstances. Revisions to estimates and the resulting effects on the carrying amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities are accounted for prospectively.

Significant judgments in applying accounting policies

The critical judgments that the Company's management has made in the process of applying the Company's accounting policies, apart from those involving estimations, that have the most significant effect on the amounts recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements are as follows:

a) **Impairment assets**

The carrying value of plant and equipment, intangible assets, exploration and evaluation properties and mine properties is reviewed each reporting period to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its recoverable amount, the asset is impaired and an impairment loss

is recognized in profit or loss. The assessment of fair values, including those of the cash-generating units, require the use of estimates and assumptions for recoverable production, long-term commodity prices, discount rates, foreign exchange rates, future capital requirements and operating performance. Changes in any of the assumptions or estimates used in determining the fair value of assets could impact the impairment analysis.

b) Economic recoverability and probability of future economic benefits of development costs

Management has determined that exploratory drilling, evaluation, development and related costs incurred which have been capitalized are economically recoverable. Management uses several criteria in its assessments of economic recoverability and probability of future economic benefit including geologic and metallurgic information, history of conversion of mineral deposits to proven and probable reserves, scoping and feasibility studies, accessible facilities, existing permits and life of mine plans.

c) Functional currency

The functional currency for each of the Company's subsidiaries, joint ventures and investments in associates, is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. The Company has determined the functional currency of each entity is the US dollar. Determination of functional currency may involve certain judgments to determine the primary economic environment and the Company reconsiders the functional currency of its entities if there is a change in events and conditions which determined the primary economic environment.

d) Commencement of commercial production

Costs associated with the commissioning of new assets, in the pre-commercial period before they are operating in the way intended by management, are capitalized, net of any pre-production revenues. Commercial production is deemed to have occurred when management determines that, amongst other items, the completion of operational commissioning of major mine components has been reached, operating results, which includes the grade and volume of material mined, are being achieved consistently for a period of time, and there are indicators that these operating results will continue, all of which involve management judgments.

Key sources of Estimation Uncertainty

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates and assumptions are continually evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Differences may be material.

The areas which require management to make significant estimates and assumptions in determining carrying values include, but are not limited to:

a) Mineral Reserves

Proven and probable mineral reserves are the economically mineable parts of the Company's measured and indicated mineral resources demonstrated by at least a preliminary feasibility study. The Company estimates its proven and probable reserves and measured and indicated and inferred mineral resources based on information compiled by appropriately qualified persons. Qualified persons are defined in accordance with Canadian Securities Administrators National Instrument 43-101. The information relating to the geological data on the size, depth and shape of the ore body requires complex geological judgments to interpret the data. The estimation of future cash flows related to proven and probable reserves is based upon factors such as estimates of foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, future capital requirements and production costs

along with geological assumptions and judgments made in estimating the size and grade of the ore body. Changes in the proven and probable reserves or measured and indicated and inferred mineral resources estimates may impact the carrying value of exploration and evaluation properties, plant and equipment, decommissioning and restoration provision, recognition of deferred tax amounts and depreciation and depletion.

The recoverability of the mineral reserve amounts is dependent on the Company's ability to secure and maintain title and beneficial interests in the properties to obtain the necessary financing, to continue the exploration and future developments of the properties, and/or to realize the carrying amount through a sale or partial disposal.

b) Depreciation and depletion

Plants and other facilities used directly in mining activities are depreciated using the units-of-production ("UOP") method over a period not to exceed the estimated life of the ore body based on recoverable ounces to be mined from proven and probable reserves. Mobile and other equipment are depreciated, net of residual value, on a straight-line basis, over the useful life of the equipment to the extent that the useful life does not exceed the related estimated life of the mine based on proven and probable reserves.

The calculation of the UOP rate, and therefore the annual depreciation and depletion expense, could be materially affected by changes in the underlying estimates. Changes in estimates can be the result of actual future production differing from current forecasts of future production, expansion of mineral reserves through exploration activities, differences between estimated and actual costs of mining and differences in gold price used in the estimation of mineral reserves.

Significant judgment is involved in the determination of useful life and residual values for the computation of depreciation and depletion and no assurance can be given that actual useful lives and residual values will not differ significantly from current assumptions.

c) Inventories

Expenditures incurred, and depreciation and depletion of assets used in mining and processing activities are deferred and accumulated as the cost of ore in stockpiles, ore on leach pads, in-process and finished metal inventories. These deferred amounts are carried at the lower of average cost or net realizable value ("NRV"). Write-downs of ore in stockpiles, ore on leach pads, in-process and finished metal inventories resulting from NRV impairments are reported as a component of current period costs. The primary factors that influence the need to record write-downs include prevailing and long-term metal prices and prevailing costs for production inputs such as labour, fuel and energy, materials and supplies, as well as realized ore grades and actual production levels.

Costs are attributed to the leach pads based on current mining costs, including applicable depreciation and depletion relating to mining operations incurred up to the point of placing the ore on the pad. Costs are removed from the leach pad based on the average cost per recoverable ounce of gold on the leach pad as the gold is recovered. Estimates of recoverable gold on the leach pads are calculated from the quantities of ore placed on the pads, the grade of ore placed on the leach pads and an estimated percentage of recovery. Timing and ultimate recovery of gold contained on leach pads can vary significantly from the estimates. The quantities of recoverable gold placed on the leach pads are reconciled to the quantities of gold actually recovered (metallurgical balancing), by comparing the grades of ore placed on the leach pads to actual ounces recovered. The nature of the leaching process inherently limits the ability to precisely monitor inventory levels. As a result, the metallurgical balancing process is constantly monitored and the engineering estimates are refined based on actual results over time. The ultimate recovery of gold from a pad will not be known until the leaching process is completed.

The allocation of costs to ore on leach pads and in-process inventories and the determination of NRV involve the use of estimates. There is a high degree of judgment in estimating future costs, future production levels, proven and probable reserves estimates, gold and silver prices, and the ultimate estimated recovery for ore on leach pads. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ significantly from estimates used in the determination of the carrying value of inventories.

d) Decommissioning and restoration provision

The Company assesses its provision for reclamation and remediation on an annual basis or when new material information becomes available. Mining and exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. In general, these laws and regulations are continually changing and the Company has made, and intends to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations. Accounting for reclamation and remediation obligations requires management to make estimates of the future costs the Company will incur to complete the reclamation and remediation work required to comply with existing laws and regulations at each mining operation and exploration and development property. Actual costs incurred may differ from those amounts estimated. Also, future changes to environmental laws and regulations could increase the extent of reclamation and remediation work required to be performed by the Company. Increases in future costs could materially impact the amounts charged to operations for reclamation and remediation. The provision represents management's best estimate of the present value of the future reclamation and remediation obligation. The actual future expenditures may differ from the amounts currently provided.

e) Share-based payments

Share-based payments are determined using the Black- Scholes option pricing model based on estimated fair values of all share- based awards at the date of grant and is expensed to profit or loss over each award's vesting period. The Black- Scholes option pricing model utilizes subjective assumptions such as expected price volatility and expected life of the option. Changes in these input assumptions can significantly affect the fair value estimate.

For asset acquisitions, contingent share consideration is an estimate of the fair value of the contingent amounts expected to be payable in the future. The fair value is based on number of contingent shares, the share price of the Company on the date of acquisition and management's expectations of probability.

f) Contingencies

Due to the size, complexity and nature of the Company's operations, various legal and tax matters are outstanding from time to time. In the event that management's estimate of the future resolution of these matters changes, the Company will recognize the effects of the changes in its consolidated financial statements on the date such changes occur.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Mexican government amended the Federal labour law regarding subcontracting arrangements to prevent the use of service companies to reduce labour and tax obligations. The Company currently operates in Mexico using these subcontracting arrangements as is the common practice. The amendments also provided clarification on certain regulatory requirements associated with an employer's obligation to compensate employees with appropriate statutory profit sharing within Mexico. The Company has assessed the implications of these amendments and has determined that it is probable that no additional obligation for statutory profit sharing payments is required to be recorded by the Company.

g) Deferred taxes

In assessing the probability of realizing income tax assets recognized, management makes estimates related to expectations of future taxable income, expected timing of reversals of existing temporary differences and the likelihood that tax positions taken will be sustained upon examination by applicable tax authorities. Estimates of future taxable income are based on forecasted cash flows from operations and the application of existing tax laws in each jurisdiction. Forecasted cash flows from operations are based on life of mine projections internally developed and reviewed by management. The likelihood that tax positions taken will be sustained upon examination by applicable tax authorities is assessed based on individual facts and circumstances of the relevant tax position evaluated in light of all available evidence. Where applicable tax laws and regulations are either unclear or subject to ongoing varying interpretations, it is reasonably possible that changes in these estimates can occur that materially affect the amounts of income tax assets recognized. At the end of each reporting period, the Company reassesses unrecognized income tax assets.

Share Capital

- a) The Company's authorized share capital consists of an unlimited number of common shares without par value.
- b) In August 2014, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement consisting of 7,458,021 units at a price of CDN \$0.20 per unit for gross proceeds of \$1.4 million (CDN\$1.5 million). Each unit consisted of one common share and one-half non-transferable common share purchase warrant. Each full warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share in the capital of the Company at a price CDN \$0.25 per shares for two years from the date of issue of the warrant. Finders' fees of \$82,000 were paid to an arm's length party.
- c) During the year ended December 31, 2013, 5.5 million common shares were issued to Oroco as part of the Oroco Agreement (see note 5) with respect to acquisition of the Cerro Prieto project. The Company has committed to issue 16.5 million of its common shares or, at its option, pay \$4.1 million to Oroco on or before August 30, 2015. This contingent share consideration was originally valued at \$2.3 million

OTHER MD&A REQUIREMENTS

Goldgroup's business of exploring, developing and mining mineral resources involves a variety of operational, financial and regulatory risks that are typical in the natural resource industry. The Company attempts to mitigate these risks and minimize their effect on its financial performance, but there is no guarantee that the Company will be profitable in the future, and any investment in Goldgroup's common shares should be considered speculative.

Additional information relating to the Company, including the AIF is available on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com and on the Company's website at www.goldgroupmining.com.

The Board of Directors of Goldgroup has approved the disclosure contained in this MD&A. A copy of this MD&A will be provided to anyone who requests it from the Company.

Compliance with NI 43-101

As required by National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101"), Goldgroup has filed technical reports detailing the technical information related to its material mineral properties discussed herein. For the purposes of NI 43-101, the Company's material mineral properties, the San José de Gracia project, the Cerro Colorado mine and the Cerro Prieto project. Unless otherwise indicated, Goldgroup has prepared the technical information in this MD&A ("Technical Information") based on information contained in the technical reports, news releases and other public filings (collectively, the "Disclosure Documents") available under the Company's profile on SEDAR. Each Disclosure Document was prepared by or under the supervision of a qualified person as defined in NI 43-101. For readers to fully understand the information in this MD&A, they should read the Disclosure Documents in their entirety, including all qualifications, assumptions and exclusions that relate to the information set out in this MD&A which qualifies the Technical Information. The Disclosure Documents are each intended to be read as a whole, and sections should not be read or relied upon out of context. The Technical Information is subject to the assumptions and qualifications contained in the Disclosure Documents.

Marc Simpson, P. Geo., acts as Goldgroup's in-house qualified person for exploration results for the purposes of NI 43-101, and has reviewed and verified the Technical Information.

The Cerro Colorado Technical Report dated May 14, 2012 and effective February 29, 2012 was prepared by Marc Simpson, P. Geo. and co-authored by Gary Giroux, MASC., P.Eng of Giroux Consultants Ltd. and Fernando Rodrigues BSc, MBA, MAusIMM, MMSAQP of SRK Consulting (U.S.) Ltd.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate control over its financial reporting, and has established systems of internal control over the financial reporting process, which are designed to provide reasonable assurance that relevant and reliable financial information is produced. Management, including the Chief Executive

Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, has conducted an evaluation of the design effectiveness of the Company's control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (COSO Framework 2013), published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, the Company's management concluded that a material weakness existed due to inadequate segregation of duties between the preparation and review of financial information and statements. This material weakness has the potential to result in a material misstatement in the Company's financial statements, and as such, should be considered a material weakness in its internal control over financial reporting. Management and the Board of Directors have concluded and agreed that, after taking into account the present stage of the Company's projects and the best interests of its stakeholders, the Company does not have sufficient size and scale to warrant the hiring of additional staff to correct the segregation of duties weakness at this time with respect to the preparation and review of financial statements.

Limitations of Controls and Procedures:

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, believe that any disclosure controls and procedures or internal controls over financial reporting, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override of the control. The design of any systems of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon the results of that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the appropriate time periods and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Non-IFRS Financial Measures

Cash Costs

The Company's MD&A often refers to cash costs per ounce, a non-IFRS performance measure in order to provide investors with information about the measure used by management to monitor performance. This information is used to assess how well the producing gold mine is performing compared to plan and prior periods, and also to assess the overall effectiveness and efficiency of gold mining operations. "Cash cost" figures are calculated in accordance with a standard developed by The Gold Institute, which was a worldwide association of suppliers of gold and gold products and included leading North American gold producers. The Gold Institute ceased operations in 2002, but the standard is still an accepted standard of reporting cash costs of gold production in North America. Adoption of the standard is voluntary and the cost measures presented herein may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. Costs include mine site operating costs such as mining, processing, administration, royalties and production taxes, but are exclusive of amortization, reclamation, capital, exploration and development costs. These costs are then divided by ounces of gold sold to arrive at the total cash costs per ounce of gold sold. The measure, along with sales, is considered to be a key indicator of a company's ability to generate operating earnings and cash flow from its mining operations.

These gold cash costs differ from measures determined in accordance with IFRS. They are intended to provide additional information and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS. These measures are not necessarily indicative of net earnings or cash flow from operations as determined under IFRS.

The following table provides a reconciliation of total cash costs per ounce sold for the Cerro Colorado gold mine to the cost of sales, excluding depreciation and depletion as per the consolidated statement of operations.

Cash Costs for 2014, 2013 and 2012

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013 ^(b)	2012
<i>(tabled amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars except cash cost)</i>			
Cost of sales (excluding depreciation and depletion)	5,001	\$ 22,639	\$ 29,130
Silver by-product credit	(86)	(329)	(433)
	4,915	22,310	28,697
Gold ounces – sold	3,890	17,631	19,933
Total cash costs (\$/oz. sold)	1,263	1,265	1,440
Breakdown of cost per ounce sold			
Direct operating costs	1,245	1,239	1,416
3% NSR Royalty	40	45	46
Less: silver by-product credits	(22)	(19)	(22)
Total cash costs (\$/oz. sold)	1,263	1,265	1,440

Investor Relations Activities

Goldgroup is committed to adhering to best investor relations corporate practices. The Company continues to attend prudently selected resource-focused tradeshows, conferences, and non-deal roadshows to ensure continuous communication with current and prospective investors. Currently, the Company is not utilizing any North American-based investor relations external consultants. Additionally, Goldgroup has minimized North American public relations and advertising initiatives as part of a company-wide capital optimization plan.

Risks and Uncertainties

Exploration, development and mining of metals involve numerous inherent risks. As such, the Company is subject to various financial, operational and political risks that could have a significant impact on its profitability and levels of operating cash flows. Such risk factors could materially affect the value of the Company's assets and future operating results of the Company and could cause actual events to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements relating to the Company.

An investment in the securities of the Company should be considered speculative due, generally, to the nature of the business in which the Company is engaged, the limited extent of the Company's assets, the Company's state of development and the degree of its reliance upon the expertise of management.

The list of risk factors below should not be taken as exhaustive of the risks faced by the Company or by investors in the Company. The above factors, and others not specifically referred to above, may in the future materially affect the financial performance of the Company and the value of its securities.

Lack of Operation Profit

There is no guarantee that the Company will enter into profitable agreements with mining companies and earn profit from operations.

The Company has not paid any dividends and it is unlikely to enjoy earnings or pay dividends in the immediate or foreseeable future. A prospective investor in the Company must be prepared to rely solely upon the ability, expertise, judgment, discretion, integrity and good faith of the Company's management in all aspects of the development and implementation of the Company's business activities.

Goldgroup's expected operating costs and expenditures, economic returns and other projections from a mining project which are contained in this document and in any technical reports or other studies prepared for or by Goldgroup are based on assumed or estimated future metals prices, cut-off grades, operating costs, capital costs, and expenditures and other factors that each may prove to be inaccurate. Therefore, such studies and reports may prove to be unreliable.

For example, significant declines in market prices for base and precious metals or extended periods of inflation would have an adverse effect on any economic projections. In addition, any material reductions in estimates of mineralization or increases in capital costs and expenditures, or in Goldgroup's ability to maintain a projected budget or renew a particular mining permit, could also have a material adverse effect on projected production schedules and economic returns, as well as on Goldgroup's overall results of operations or financial condition. There is also a risk that rising costs for labour and material could have an adverse impact on forecasted construction costs and that shortages of labour and material could have a negative impact on any mine development schedule.

Goldgroup's operating costs are affected by the cost of commodities and goods such as steel, fuel, electrical power and supplies, including tires and reagents. Management of Goldgroup prepares its cost and production guidance and other forecasts based on its review of current and estimated future costs, and management assumes that the materials and supplies required for operations will be available for purchase. An increase in any of these costs, or a lack of availability of commodities and goods, may have an adverse impact on Goldgroup's financial condition.

Market Price of the Common Shares

The Common Shares are listed and posted for trading on the TSX. There can be no assurance that an active trading market in the Company's securities will be established and maintained. Securities of companies involved in the resource industry have experienced substantial volatility in the past, often based on factors unrelated to the financial performance or prospects of the companies involved. The price of the Common Shares is also likely to be significantly affected by short-term changes in commodity prices or in the Company's financial condition or results of operations as reflected in its quarterly earnings reports.

Acquisition Strategy

As part of the Company's business strategy, it has sought and will continue to seek new exploration, mining and development opportunities in the resource industry. In pursuit of such opportunities, the Company may fail to select appropriate acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable arrangements, including arrangements to finance acquisitions or integrate the acquired businesses and their personnel into the Company. The Company cannot assure that it can complete any acquisition or business arrangement that it pursues, or is pursuing, on favorable terms, or that any acquisitions or business arrangements completed will ultimately benefit the Company.

The Company may not realize the benefits of its growth projects

As part of its strategy, the Company will continue existing efforts and initiate new efforts to develop new mineral projects. A number of risks and uncertainties are associated with the development of these types of projects, including political, regulatory, design, construction, labour, operating, technical, and technological risks, and uncertainties relating to capital and other costs, and financing risks. The failure to develop one or more of these initiatives successfully could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Current Global Financial Conditions

Events over the last number of years in global financial markets, including sovereign debt crises, have had a profound impact on the global economy and global financial conditions have been subject to volatility. Many industries, including the mining sector, are impacted by these market conditions. Some of the key impacts of the current financial market turmoil include contraction in credit markets resulting in a widening of credit risk, devaluations and

high volatility in global equity, commodity, foreign exchange and precious metal markets and a lack of market liquidity. A continuing slowdown in financial markets or other economic conditions, including, but not limited to, consumer spending, employment rates, business conditions, inflation, fuel and energy costs, consumer debt levels, lack of available credit, the state of the financial markets, interest rates, and tax rates may adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to grow.

Financing Risk

The Company has no assurance that additional funding will be available for further exploration and development of its projects or to fulfill its obligations under any applicable agreements. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of such financing will be favorable. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or infinite postponement of further exploration and development of its projects with the possible loss of such properties.

Competition

The mineral exploration and development industry is highly competitive. The Company competes with other domestic and international mineral exploration companies that have greater financial, human and technical resources. The Company's competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new laws or regulations or emerging technologies, or devote greater resources to the expansion or efficiency of their operations than the Company can. In addition, current and potential competitors may make strategic acquisitions or establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties. Accordingly, it is possible that new competitors or alliances among current and new competitors may emerge and gain significant market share to the Company's detriment. The Company may also encounter increasing competition from other mining companies in the Company's efforts to hire experienced mining professionals. Increased competition could adversely affect the Company's ability to attract necessary capital funding, to acquire it on acceptable terms, or to acquire suitable properties or prospects for mineral exploration in the future. As a result of this competition, the Company may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors, and any failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. These factors include market fluctuations, the proximity and capacity of natural resource markets and processing equipment, government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital or losing its investment capital.

Risks related to International Activities

A material portion of the business of the Gold Group is located in Mexico. The Company's international operations may be adversely affected by political or economic developments or social instability, which will not be within the Company's control, including, among other things, the risks of political unrest, labour disputes and unrest, war, terrorism, abduction, expropriation, nationalization, renegotiation or nullification of existing concessions, contracts and permits, government regulation, delays in obtaining or renewing or the inability to obtain or renew necessary permits, taxation policies, economic sanctions, fluctuating exchange rates, currency controls, high rates of inflation, limitations on foreign ownership and increased financing costs. The occurrence of any such events could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operations as currently contemplated.

It may also be difficult for the Company to find and hire qualified people in the mining industry who are situated in Mexico or to obtain all of the necessary services or expertise in Mexico or to conduct operations on the Company's projects at reasonable rates. If qualified people and services or expertise cannot be obtained, the Company may need to seek and obtain those services from people located outside of these areas, which will require work permits and compliance with applicable laws and could result in delays and higher costs to conduct the Company's operations.

Corruption and Bribery Risk

The Company's operations are governed by, and involve interactions with, many levels of government. Like most companies, the Company is required to comply with anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws, including the Canadian *Corruption of Foreign Public Officials Act*. In recent years, there has been a general increase in both the frequency of enforcement and severity of penalties under such laws, resulting in greater scrutiny and punishment to companies convicted of violating anti-bribery laws. Furthermore, a company may be found liable for violations by not only its employees, but also by its third party agents. Although the Company takes steps to mitigate such risks, such measures are not always effective in ensuring that the Company, its employees or third party agents will comply strictly with such laws. If the Company finds itself subject to an enforcement action or is found to be in violation of such laws, this may result in significant penalties, fines and/or sanctions imposed on the Company resulting in a material adverse effect on the Company's reputation and results of operations.

Risks Associated with Joint Venture Agreements

Pursuant to agreements the Company may enter into in the course of its business, the Company's interest in its properties may become subject to the risks normally associated with the conduct of joint ventures. In the event that any of the Company's properties become subject to a joint venture, the existence or occurrence of one or more of the following circumstances and events could have a material adverse impact on the Company's profitability or the viability of its interests held through joint ventures, which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business prospects, results of operations and financial condition: (i) disagreements with joint venture partners on how to conduct exploration; (ii) inability of joint venture partners to meet their obligations to the joint venture or third parties; and (iii) disputes or litigation between joint venture partners regarding budgets, development activities, reporting requirements and other joint venture matters.

Reliance on Key Individuals

The Company's success depends on its ability to attract and retain the services of key personnel who are qualified and experienced. In particular, the success of the Company is, and will continue to be to a significant extent, dependent on the expertise and experience of the Company's directors and senior management. It is expected that these individuals will be a significant factor in the Company's growth and success. The loss of the service of these individuals could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The resource industry is largely driven by fluctuations in commodity prices which, when high, can lead to a large number of projects being developed which in turn increases the demand for skilled personnel, contractors, material and supplies. Accordingly, there is a risk to the Company of losing or being unable to secure enough suitable key personnel or key resources and, as a result, being exposed to increased capital and operating costs and delays, which may in turn adversely affect the development of the Company's projects, the results of operations and the Company's financial condition and prospectus.

Commodity Prices

The price of the Common Shares and the Company's financial results may be significantly adversely affected by a decline in the price of metals. The price of metal commodities fluctuates widely, especially in recent years, and is affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control such as the sale or purchase of commodities by various central banks and financial institutions, interest rates, exchange rates, inflation or deflation, fluctuation in the value of the United States dollar and foreign currencies, global and regional supply and demand, and the political and economic conditions of major metal-producing countries throughout the world.

Dividend Policy

No dividends on the Common Shares have been paid by the Company to date. The Company anticipates that it will retain all earnings and other cash resources for the foreseeable future for the operation and development of its business. The Company does not intend to declare or pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of the Company's board of directors after taking into account many factors, including the Company's operating results, financial condition and current and anticipated cash needs.

Conflicts of Interest

Certain of the directors and officers of the Company also serve as directors and/or officers of other companies involved in natural resource exploration, development and mining operations and consequently there exists the possibility for such directors and officers to be in a position of conflict. Any decision made by any of such directors and officers will be made in accordance with their duties and obligations to deal fairly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. In addition, each of the directors is required to declare and refrain from voting on any matter in which such directors may have a conflict of interest in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) and other applicable laws.

Exploration, Development and Operating Risks

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk. Any potential mining operations of the Company will be subject to all the hazards and risks normally encountered in the exploration, development and production of metals, including unusual and unexpected geologic formations, seismic activity, rock bursts, cave-ins, flooding, fire, environmental hazards and the discharge of toxic chemicals, explosions and other conditions involved in the drilling and removal of material, any of which could result in damage to, or destruction of mines and other producing facilities, damage to property, injury or loss of life, environmental damage, work stoppages, delays in production, increased production costs and possible legal liability. Milling operations are subject to hazards such as equipment failure or failure of retaining dams around tailings disposal areas which may result in environmental pollution and consequent liability. Although the Company believes that appropriate precautions to minimize risks are taken, these risks cannot be eliminated.

The exploration for and development of mineral deposits involves significant risks which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses may be required to locate and establish mineral reserves, to develop metallurgical processes and to construct mining and processing facilities at a particular site. It is impossible to ensure that the exploration or development programs planned or other mining operations in which the Company may acquire an interest will result in a profitable commercial mining operation. Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, including among other things: the interpretation of geological data obtained from drill holes and other sampling techniques, the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure and labour; metal prices which are highly cyclical; government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection; and political stability. The Company's development projects are also subject to the issuance of necessary permits and other governmental approvals and receipt of adequate financing. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may adversely affect the Company's business.

Costs

The estimates of costs to conduct further exploration and development work by the Company are based on certain assumptions with respect to the method and timing of the work. By their nature, these estimates and assumptions are subject to significant uncertainties and, accordingly, the actual costs may materially differ from these estimates and assumptions. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the cost estimates and the underlying assumptions will be realized in practice, which may materially and adversely affect the Company's viability.

Environmental Regulation, Risks and Hazards

All phases of mining operations are subject to environmental regulation in the jurisdictions in which they operate. These regulations mandate, among other things, the maintenance of air and water quality standards and land reclamation. They also set forth limitations on the generation, transportation, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous waste. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. Compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations may require significant capital outlays, including obtaining additional permits, and may cause material changes or delays in, or the cancellation of, the Company's exploration programs or current operations. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect the Company's mining operations.

Furthermore, environmental hazards may exist on the properties on which the owners or operators of mining operations hold interests which are unknown to such owners or operators at present and which have been caused by previous or existing owners or operators of the properties.

Government approvals and permits are currently, and may in the future be, required in connection with mining operations at the Company's properties. To the extent such approvals are required and not obtained, mining operations may be curtailed or prohibited from continuing operations or from proceeding with planned exploration or development of mineral properties.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations or in the exploration or development of mineral properties may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations. The occurrence of any environmental violation or enforcement action may have an adverse impact on the Company's operations and reputation.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining and exploration companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on mining operations and cause increases in exploration expenses, capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Governmental Regulation

Mining operations and exploration activities are subject to extensive laws and regulations governing exploration, development, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, waste disposal, protection and remediation of the environment, reclamation, historic and cultural resources preservation, mine safety and occupation health, handling, storage and transportation of hazardous substances and other matters. The costs of discovering, evaluating, planning, designing, developing, constructing, operating, and other facilities in compliance with such laws and regulations are significant. It is possible that the costs and delays associated with compliance with such laws and regulations could become such that the owners or operators of mining operations would not proceed with the development of or continue to operate a mine. As part of their normal course operating, and development activities, such owners or operators have expended significant resources, both financial and managerial, to comply with governmental and environmental regulations and permitting requirements, and will continue to do so in the future. Moreover, it is possible that future regulatory developments, such as increasingly strict environmental protection laws, regulations and enforcement policies thereunder, and claims for damages to property and persons resulting from mining operations could result in substantial costs and liabilities in the future.

Our operations are governed by, and involve interactions with, many levels of government in countries with a history of corruption. Like most companies, we are required to comply with anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws, including the Canadian Corruption of Foreign Public Officials Act, as well as similar laws in the countries in which we conduct our business. In recent years, there has been a general increase in both the frequency of enforcement and severity of penalties under such laws, resulting in greater scrutiny and punishment to companies convicted of violating anti-bribery laws. Furthermore, a company may be found liable for violations by not only its employees, but also by its third party agents. It is our policy to implement safeguards to discourage these practices by employees and our consultants. However, our existing safeguards and any future improvements may prove to be less than effective, and our employees and consultants may have engaged or may engage in conduct for which we might be held responsible. Violations of such laws may result in criminal or civil sanctions, and we may be subject to other liabilities, which could negatively affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Permitting

Mining operations are subject to receiving and maintaining permits from appropriate governmental authorities. It can be time-consuming and costly to obtain, maintain and renew permits. In addition, permit terms and conditions can impose restrictions on how the Company conducts its operations and limit the Company's flexibility in development of its mineral properties. Prior to any development on the Company's properties, permits from appropriate

governmental authorities may be required. Permits required for the Company's operations may not be issued, maintained or renewed in a timely fashion or at all, may not be issued or renewed upon conditions that restrict the Company's ability to conduct the Company's operations economically, or may be subsequently revoke. Any such failure to obtain, maintain or renew permits, or other permitting delays or conditions could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition and prospectus.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may be liable for civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Goldgroup is in the process of applying for permits and licences relating to its operations in Mexico. The Company cannot be certain that it will receive the necessary permits and licences at all, or on acceptable terms required to conduct further exploration and to develop its properties and bring them into production. Government approvals and approvals of members of the surrounding communities and permits and licences are currently, and will in the future be, required in connection with the operations of the Company. To the extent such approvals are required and not obtained, the Company may be curtailed or prohibited from continuing its exploration, development or production activities. The failure to obtain such permits or licences, or delays in obtaining such permits or licences, could increase the Company's costs and delay its activities, and could adversely affect the properties, business or operations of the Company.

Infrastructure

Mining, processing, development and exploration activities depend, to one degree or another, on adequate infrastructure. Reliable roads, bridges, power sources and water supply are important determinants, which affect capital and operating costs. Unusual or infrequent weather phenomena, sabotage, government or other interference in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure could adversely affect operations at the Company's properties.

Exploration and Geological Report

The reported results in the technical reports filed in respect of the Company's properties are estimates only. No assurance can be given that the estimated mineralization will be recovered. The reported results are based on limited sampling, and, consequently, are uncertain because the samples may not be representative. Estimates may require revision (either up or down) based on actual production experience. If the Company encounters mineralization or geological formations different from those predicted by past drilling, sampling and interpretations, any estimates may need to be altered in a way that could adversely affect the Company's operations or proposed operations. In addition, market fluctuations in the price of metals, as well as increased production costs or reduced recovery rates, may render certain minerals uneconomic.

Land Title

No assurances can be given that there are no title defects affecting the Company's properties. The Company's properties may be subject to prior unregistered liens, agreements, transfers or claims, including native land claims, and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects.

A mineral concession in Mexico does not confer any ownership of surface rights. The majority of Goldgroup's mineral properties are located in relatively uninhabited areas. There are currently no areas of interest to Goldgroup within its mineral concession that are overlain by significant habitation or industrial users, however there are potential overlapping surface usage issues in some areas. Some surface rights may be owned by local communities or "Ejidos" or by private ranching or residential interests. Goldgroup will require additional surface rights to exploit all resources on its properties. Accordingly, Goldgroup will need to negotiate agreements with private landowners for access and any potential development or exploitation rights. There is no assurance that surface rights agreements that may be necessary for future operations will be obtained when needed, on reasonable terms or at all, which could materially and adversely affect the business of Goldgroup.

Commodity Price Fluctuations

The price of metals has fluctuated widely in recent years, and future serious price declines could cause continued development of and commercial production from the Company's properties to be impracticable. Future cash flows may not be sufficient and the Company could be forced to discontinue production and may be forced to sell the properties. Future production by the Company is dependent on metal prices that are adequate to make this property economic.

In addition to adversely affecting the commercial production estimates and financial conditions, declining commodity prices can impact operations by requiring a reassessment of the feasibility of a particular project. Such a reassessment may be the result of a management decision or may be required under financing arrangements related to a particular project. Even if the project is ultimately determined to be economically viable, the need to conduct such a reassessment may cause substantial delays or may interrupt operations until the reassessment can be completed.

Additional Capital

Mining, processing, development and exploration may require substantial additional financing. Failure to obtain sufficient financing may result in delaying or indefinite postponement of exploration, development or production or even a loss of property interest. There can be no assurance that additional capital or other types of financing will be available if needed or that, if available, will be on satisfactory terms.

Foreign Exchange Rate Fluctuations

Operations in Mexico and Canada are subject to foreign currency exchange fluctuations. The Company raises its funds through equity issuances which are priced in Canadian dollars, and the majority of the exploration costs of the Company are denominated in United States dollar and Mexico pesos. The Company may suffer losses due to adverse foreign currency fluctuations.

Property Exploration and Development Risk

The Company's properties are currently at the exploration stage of development. Exploration and development is subject to numerous risks, including, but not limited to, delays in obtaining equipment, material and services essential to developing the project in a timely manner; changes in environmental or other government regulations; currency exchange rates; labour shortages; and fluctuation in metal prices. There can be no assurance that the Company will have the financial, technical and operational resources to complete the exploration and development in accordance with current expectations or at all.

Insurance Risk

The Company's business is subject to a number of risks and hazards generally, including adverse environmental conditions, industrial accidents, labour disputes, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground or slope failure, cave-ins, mechanical failures, changes in the regulatory environment and natural phenomena such as inclement weather conditions, fires, floods and earthquakes. Such occurrences could result in damage, delays in mining, monetary losses and possible legal liability.

Although the Company maintains insurance to protect against certain risks in such amounts as it considers reasonable, the Company's insurance will not cover all the potential risks associated with a mining company's operations. The Company may also be unable to maintain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums. Insurance coverage may not continue to be available or may not be adequate to cover any resulting liability. Moreover, insurance against risks such as loss of title to mineral property, environmental pollution, or other hazards as a result of exploration and production is not generally available to the Company or other companies in the mining industry on acceptable terms. The Company may also become subject to liability for pollution or other hazards which may not be insured against or which the Company may elect not to insure against because of premium costs or other reasons. Losses from these events may cause the Company to incur significant costs that could have a material adverse effect on our financial performance and results of operations.

Force Majeure

The Company's projects now or in future may be adversely affected by risks outside the control of the Company, including labour unrest, civil disorder, war, subversive activities or sabotage, fires, floods, explosions or other catastrophes, epidemics or quarantine restrictions.

Readers of this MD&A should carefully consider the detailed risks set out under the heading “Risk Factors” in the AIF.

Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information

This MD&A contains “forward-looking information” (within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities law) and “forward-looking statements” (within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995) concerning Goldgroup’s plans at its mineral properties and other matters. These statements relate to analyses and other information that are based on forecasts of future results, estimates of amounts not yet determinable and assumptions of management. Actual results could differ materially from the conclusions, forecasts and projections contained in such forward-looking information.

Any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance (often, but not always, using words or phrases such as “expects”, “is expected”, “anticipates”, “plans”, “projects”, “estimates”, “assumes”, “intends”, “strategy”, “goals”, “objectives”, “potential” or variations thereof or stating that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “might” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative of any of these terms and similar expressions) are not statements of historical fact and may be forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to materially differ from those reflected in the forward-looking statements, and are developed based on assumptions about such risks, uncertainties and other factors set out herein including, without limitation:

- uncertainties related to actual capital costs, operating costs and expenditures, production schedules and economic returns from Goldgroup’s projects;
- uncertainties associated with development activities;
- uncertainties inherent in the estimation of mineral resources and precious metal recoveries;
- risks related to obtaining appropriate permits and licences to explore, develop, operate and produce at the Company’s projects;
- uncertainties related to current global economic conditions;
- fluctuations in precious and base metal prices;
- uncertainties related to the availability of future financing;
- potential difficulties with joint venture partners;
- risks that Goldgroup’s title to its property could be challenged;
- political and country risk;
- risks associated with Goldgroup being subject to government regulation;
- risks associated with having adequate surface rights for operations;
- environmental risks;
- Goldgroup’s need to attract and retain qualified personnel;
- risks associated with operating hazards at the Cerro Colorado Mine;
- risks associated with potential conflicts of interest;
- Goldgroup’s lack of experience in overseeing the construction of a mining project;
- risks related to the integration of businesses and assets acquired by Goldgroup;
- uncertainties related to the competitiveness of the mining industry;
- risk associated with theft;
- risk of water shortages and risks associated with competition for water;
- uninsured risks and inadequate insurance coverage;
- risks associated with potential legal proceedings;
- risks associated with community relations;
- outside contractor risks;

- risks related to archaeological sites;
- foreign currency risks;
- risks associated with security and human rights; and
- risks related to the need for reclamation activities on Goldgroup's properties.

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect the Company's forward-looking information. These and other factors should be considered carefully and readers should not place undue reliance on such forward-looking information. Investors should carefully consider the risks discussed in this MD&A as well as those set out under the heading "Risk Factors" in the AIF.

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors Concerning Estimates of Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves

The disclosure in this MD&A uses mineral resource and mineral reserve classification terms that comply with reporting standards in Canada, and, unless otherwise indicated, all mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates included in this MD&A have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101. NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators that establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. These standards differ significantly from the disclosure requirements of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") set forth in Industry Guide 7. Consequently, mineral resource and mineral reserve information contained in this MD&A is not comparable to similar information that would generally be disclosed by U.S. companies in accordance with the rules of the SEC.

In particular, the SEC's Industry Guide 7 applies different standards in order to classify mineralization as a reserve. As a result, the definitions of proven and probable reserves used in NI 43-101 differ from the definitions in Industry Guide 7. Under SEC standards, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. Accordingly, mineral reserve estimates contained in this MD&A may not qualify as "reserves" under SEC standards.

In addition, this MD&A uses the terms "measured mineral resources," "indicated mineral resources" and "inferred mineral resources" to comply with the reporting standards in Canada. The SEC's Industry Guide 7 does not recognize mineral resources and U.S. companies are generally not permitted to disclose resources in documents they file with the SEC. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of the mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into SEC defined mineral "reserves." Further, "inferred mineral resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined legally or economically. Therefore, investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource exists. In accordance with Canadian rules, estimates of "inferred mineral resources" cannot form the basis of feasibility or other economic studies, except in rare cases. In addition, disclosure of "contained ounces" in a mineral resource estimate is permitted disclosure under NI 43-101 provided that the grade or quality and the quantity of each category is stated; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute "reserves" by SEC standards as in place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. For the above reasons, information contained in this MD&A containing descriptions of our mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates is not comparable to similar information made public by U.S. companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements of the SEC.